## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

| In re                           | CASE NO.: A13-00015-HAR                  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
|                                 | In Chapter 15                            |  |
| TERCON INVESTMENTS LTD.,        | [Lead Case of Jointly Administered Cases |  |
|                                 | A13-00015 through A13-00025-HAR]         |  |
| Debtors in Foreign Proceedings. |  |  |
|                                 | ORDER DENYING <i>EX PARTE</i> MOTION TO  |  |
|                                 | APPROVE CANADIAN COURT VESTING           |  |
|                                 | ORDER [ECF No. 10]                       |  |

The debtors filed a motion<sup>1</sup> asking the Alaska bankruptcy court to approve an order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia to sell mostly heavy construction and mining equipment, part of which is located in Alaska.<sup>2</sup> The debtors have not to date even filed an adequate master mailing matrix or proof that they served the order for joint administration.<sup>3</sup> The motion does not per se say it is requesting *ex parte* relief, but no calendar request was filed and a proposed order was suggested, which infers that the debtors are asking for *ex parte relief*.

The affidavit supporting the motion is 124 pages, including multiple documents, which do not concisely identify the Alaska equipment or the Alaska secured creditors.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Motion for Recognition and Approval of *Approval and Vesting Order*, ECF No. 10.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  An incomplete copy of the order is located at ECF No. 9, at pages 117-124.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ECF No. 5, filed January 15, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The supporting affidavit does contain information indicating that two secured creditors of equipment, presumably located in Alaska, are N.C. Machinery Co. and Rainer Equipment,

The interrelationship of the various debtors and related players is exceedingly complicated, but the court gets the impression that the time crunch to get approval of the sale, a \$12 million sale to Richie Bros., is principally to aid Dumas Holding, Inc. (DHI), the petitioner in the British Columbia receivership, with its lender, HSBC, and to get a forbearance under its credit facility with that bank. Which may be appropriate, but DHI is a 98% equity holder in the Tercon debtors, and the Alaska bankruptcy court wants to assure it does not elevate the interests of DHI over the legitimate concerns of Alaska creditors, who under American bankruptcy law prime equity (DHI). This is not intended to cast aspersions on DHI, but merely to say that an *ex parte* approval by this court of Justice Sewell's vesting order seems premature and not in compliance with due process.

Additionally, the vesting order proposes a protocol for the return of equipment to lessors or equipment financiers of Alaska located equipment which may not be completely workable for the Alaskan equipment. At least these lessors and financiers (not identified in the motion) should have a right, after appropriate notice, to object.

The court has advised debtors' attorney, Mr. Christianson, that it is available for a hearing to determine if this court should recognize the eleven Alaskan proceedings as Foreign Main Proceedings and find that the Centers of Main Interests (the COMIs) are in

Inc. *See*, ECF No. 8, a table at pages 112-116, listing equipment in the approximate amount of \$500,000. Neither N.C. Machinery or Rainer Equipment appear to have been served with the motion at ECF No. 10.

Case 13-00015 Doc 14 Filed 01/18/13 Entered 01/18/13 16:26:57 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 3

Canada. A hearing on the this matter and the COMI determination may be scheduled on Tuesday, February 19, 2013, at 9:00 a.m. Alaska time. The court would be willing to schedule a hearing on the motion to approve the British Columbia vesting order then, or earlier if need be to salvage the sale.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for Motion for Recognition and Approval of *Approval and Vesting Order* filed at ECF No. 10 is denied to the extent it requests *ex parte* relief. This is without prejudice to the court determining the matter after debtors serve adequate notice on the effected parties. The court has not determined if "notice and hearing," without an actual hearing if no one objects, would work, but to the extent this motion can be coordinated with the COMI hearing, that would be preferred.

Dated: January 18, 2013.

By: /s/ Herb Ross
HERB ROSS
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Serve: Cabot Christianson, Esq.

David Bundy, Esq., for Caterpillar

William Courshon, Esq., U. S. Trustee's Office

Michael Parise, Esq., for Alaska Interstate Construction

Gazewood & Weiner, Attorneys for Rainer Equipment Inc.

Mark Manning, Esq., for NC Machinery Co.