

Court File No.: CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

COMMERCIAL LIST

**IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED, AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF
COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No. CV-11-431153-00CP

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

B E T W E E N :

**THE TRUSTEES OF THE LABOURERS' PENSION FUND OF CENTRAL AND EASTERN
CANADA, THE TRUSTEES OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING
ENGINEERS LOCAL 793 PENSION PLAN FOR OPERATING ENGINEERS IN
ONTARIO, SJUNDE AP-FONDEN, DAVID GRANT and ROBERT WONG**

Plaintiffs

- and -

**SINO-FOREST CORPORATION, ERNST & YOUNG LLP, BDO LIMITED (formerly known
as BDO MCCABE LO LIMITED), ALLEN T.Y. CHAN, W. JUDSON MARTIN, KAI KIT
POON, DAVID J. HORSLEY, WILLIAM E. ARDELL, JAMES P. BOWLAND, JAMES M.E.
HYDE, EDMUND MAK, SIMON MURRAY, PETER WANG, GARRY J. WEST, PÖYRY
(BEIJING) CONSULTING COMPANY LIMITED, CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES
(CANADA), INC., TD SECURITIES INC., DUNDEE SECURITIES CORPORATION, RBC
DOMINION SECURITIES INC., SCOTIA CAPITAL INC., CIBC WORLD MARKETS INC.,
MERRILL LYNCH CANADA INC., CANACCORD FINANCIAL LTD., MAISON
PLACEMENTS CANADA INC., CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA) LLC and MERRILL
LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED (successor by merger to Banc of
America Securities LLC)**

Defendants

Proceeding under the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*

**PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION RECORD
(returnable February 4, 2013)**

KOSKIE MINSKY LLP

900-20 Queen Street West, Box 52

Toronto ON M5H 3R3

Kirk M. Baert (LSUC No. 30942O)

Tel: 416-595-2117 / Fax: 416-204-2889

Jonathan Bida (LSUC No. 54211D)

Tel: 416-595-2072 / Fax: 416-204-2907

SISKINDS LLP

680 Waterloo Street, P.O. Box 2520

London ON N6A 3V8

Charles M. Wright (LSUC No. 36599Q)

Tel: 519-660-7753 / Fax: 519-660-7754

A. Dimitri Lascaris (LSUC No. 50074A)

Tel: 519-660-7844 / Fax: 519-660-7845

PALIARE ROLAND ROSENBERG ROTHSTEIN LLP

250 University Avenue, Suite 501

Toronto, ON M5H 3E5

Ken Rosenberg (LSUC No. 21102H)

Massimo Starnino (LSUC No. 41048G)

Tel: 416-646-4300 / Fax: 416-646-4301

Lawyers for an Ad Hoc Committee of Purchasers of the Applicant's Securities

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TAB	DESCRIPTION	Page
1.	Draft Settlement Approval Order, as amended February 1, 2013	4
2.	Draft Settlement Approval Order black lined to draft order filed on January 11, 2013	17
3.	Draft Document Protocol Order	30

THIS MOTION made by the Ad Hoc Committee of Purchasers of the Applicant's Securities, including the plaintiffs in the action commenced against Sino-Forest Corporation ("Sino-Forest" or the "Applicant") in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, bearing (Toronto) Court File No. CV-11-431153-00CP (the "Ontario Plaintiffs" and the "Ontario Class Action", respectively), in their own and proposed representative capacities, for an order giving effect to the Ernst & Young Release and the Ernst & Young Settlement (as defined in the Plan of Compromise and Reorganization of the Applicant under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* ("CCAA") dated December 3, 2012 (the "Plan") and as provided for in section 11.1 of the Plan, such Plan having been approved by this Honourable Court by Order dated December 10, 2012 (the "Sanction Order")), was heard this day at the Court House, 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

WHEREAS the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ernst & Young (as defined in the Plan) entered into Minutes of Settlement dated November 29, 2012.

AND WHEREAS this Honourable Court issued the Sanction Order approving the Plan containing the framework and providing for the implementation of the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release, upon further notice and approval;

AND WHEREAS the Supervising CCAA Judge in this proceeding, the Honourable Justice Morawetz, was designated on December 13, 2012 by Regional Senior Justice Then to hear this motion for settlement approval pursuant to both the CCAA and the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*;

AND WHEREAS this Honourable Court approved the form of notice and the plan for distribution of the notice to any Person with an Ernst & Young Claim, as defined in the Plan, of this settlement approval motion by Order dated December 21, 2012 (the "Notice Order");

AND ON READING the Ontario Plaintiffs' Motion Record, including the affidavits of Charles Wright, counsel to the plaintiffs, and the exhibits thereto, Joe Redshaw and the exhibits thereto, Frank C. Torchio and the exhibits thereto, Serge Kalloghlian and the exhibits thereto, and on reading the affidavit of Mike P. Dean and the exhibits thereto, and on reading the

affidavit of Judson Martin and the exhibits thereto and on reading the Responding Motion Record of the Objectors to this motion (Invesco Canada Ltd., Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P., Comité Syndical National de Retraite Bâtirente Inc., Matrix Asset Management Inc, Gestion Férique and Montrusco Bolton Investments) including the affidavits of Eric J. Adelson and the exhibits thereto, Daniel Simard and the exhibits thereto and Tanya J. Jemec, and the exhibits thereto, and on reading the Fourteenth Report, the Supplement to the Fourteenth Report and the Fifteenth Report of FTI Consulting Canada Inc., in its capacity as Monitor of the Applicant (in such capacity, the “Monitor”) dated January 22 and 28, 2013 and February 1, 2013 including any notices of objection received, and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Ontario Plaintiffs, Ernst & Young LLP, the Ad Hoc Committee of Sino-Forest Noteholders, the Applicant, the Objectors to this motion, the Monitor and those other parties present, no one appearing for any other party although duly served as appears from the affidavit of service of ● sworn ●, 2013 and such other notice as required by the Notice Order,

Sufficiency of Service and Definitions

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service and manner of service of the Notice of Motion and the Motion Record and the Fourteenth Report, the Supplement to the Fourteenth Report and the Fifteenth Report of the Monitor on any Person are, respectively, hereby abridged and validated, and any further service thereof is hereby dispensed with so that this Motion is properly returnable today in both proceedings set out in the styles of cause hereof.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this order shall have the meanings attributed to those terms in the Plan.
3. **THIS COURT FINDS** that all applicable parties have adhered to, and acted in accordance with, the Notice Order and that the procedures provided in the Notice Order have provided good and sufficient notice of the hearing of this Motion, and that all Persons shall be and are hereby forever barred from objecting to the Ernst & Young Settlement or the Ernst & Young Release.

Representation

4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that Ontario Plaintiffs are hereby recognized and appointed as representatives on behalf of those Persons described in **Appendix “A”** hereto (collectively, the “Securities Claimants”) in these insolvency proceedings in respect of the Applicant (the “CCAA Proceedings”) and in the Ontario Class Action, including for the purposes of and as contemplated by section 11.1 of the Plan, and more particularly the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release.
5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that Koskie Minsky LLP, Siskinds LLP and Paliare Roland Rosenberg Rothstein LLP are hereby recognized and appointed as counsel for the Securities Claimants for all purposes in these proceedings and as contemplated by section 11.1 of the Plan, and more particularly the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release (“CCAA Representative Counsel”).
6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the steps taken by CCAA Representative Counsel pursuant to the Orders of this Court dated May 8, 2012 (the “Claims Procedure Order”) and July 25, 2012 (the “Mediation Order”) are hereby approved, authorized and validated as of the date thereof and that CCAA Representative Counsel is and was authorized to negotiate and support the Plan on behalf of the Securities Claimants, to negotiate the Ernst & Young Settlement, to bring this motion before this Honourable Court to approve the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release and to take any other necessary steps to effectuate and implement the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release, including bringing any necessary motion before the court, and as contemplated by section 11.1 of the Plan.

Approval of the Settlement & Release

7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release are fair and reasonable in all the circumstances and for the purposes of both proceedings.
8. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release be and hereby are approved for all purposes and as contemplated by s. 11.1 of the

Plan and paragraph 40 of the Sanction Order and shall be implemented in accordance with their terms, this Order, the Plan and the Sanction Order.

9. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order, the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release are binding upon each and every Person or entity having an Ernst & Young Claim, including those Persons who are under disability, and any requirements of rules 7.04(1) and 7.08(4) of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194 are dispensed with in respect of the Ontario Class Action.

Payment, Release, Discharge and Channelling

10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that upon satisfaction of all the conditions specified in section 11.1(a) of the Plan, Ernst & Young shall pay CDN \$117,000,000 (the “Settlement Fund”) into the Settlement Trust (as defined in paragraph 16 below) less any amounts paid in advance as set out in paragraph 15 of this order or the Notice Order.
11. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that upon receipt of a certificate from Ernst & Young confirming it has paid the Settlement Fund to the Settlement Trust in accordance with the Ernst & Young Settlement as contemplated by paragraph 10 of this Order and upon receipt of a certificate from the trustee of the Settlement Trust confirming receipt of such Settlement Fund, the Monitor shall deliver to Ernst & Young the Monitor’s Ernst & Young Settlement Certificate (as defined in the Plan) substantially in the form attached hereto as Appendix “B”. The Monitor shall thereafter file the Monitor’s Ernst & Young Settlement Certificate with the Court.
12. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that pursuant to the provisions of section 11.1(b) of the Plan,
- a. upon receipt by the Settlement Trust of the Settlement Fund, all Ernst & Young Claims, including but not limited to the claims of the Securities Claimants, shall be fully, finally, irrevocably and forever compromised, released, discharged, cancelled, barred and deemed satisfied and extinguished as against Ernst & Young in accordance with section 11.1(b) of the Plan;

- b. on the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, section 7.3 of the Plan shall apply to Ernst & Young and the Ernst & Young Claims *mutatis mutandis*;
- c. upon receipt by the Settlement Trust of the Settlement Fund, none of the plaintiffs in the Class Actions or any other actions in which the Ernst & Young Claims could have been asserted shall be permitted to claim from any of the other defendants that portion of any damages, restitutionary award or disgorgement of profits that corresponds with the liability of Ernst & Young, proven at trial or otherwise, that is the subject of the Ernst & Young Settlement (“Ernst & Young’s Proportionate Liability”);
- d. upon receipt by the Settlement Trust of the Settlement Fund, Ernst & Young shall have no obligation to participate in and shall not be compelled to participate in any disputes about the allocation of the Settlement Fund from the Settlement Trust and any and all Ernst & Young Claims shall be irrevocably channeled to the Settlement Fund held in the Settlement Trust in accordance with paragraphs 16 and 17 of this order and the Claims and Distribution Protocol defined below and forever discharged and released against Ernst & Young in accordance with paragraph 12(a) of this order, regardless of whether the Claims and Distribution Protocol is finalized as at the Ernst & Young Settlement Date;
- e. on the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, all Class Actions, as defined in the Plan, including the Ontario Class Action shall be permanently stayed as against Ernst & Young; and
- f. on the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, the Ontario Class Action shall be dismissed against Ernst & Young.

13. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that on the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, any and all claims which Ernst & Young may have had against any other current or former defendant, or any affiliate thereof, in the Ontario Class Action, or against any other current or former defendant, or any affiliate thereof, in any Class Actions in a jurisdiction in which this order

has been recognized by a final order of a court of competent jurisdiction and not subject to further appeal, any other current or former defendant's insurers, or any affiliates thereof, or any other Persons who may claim over against the other current or former defendants, or any affiliate thereof, or the other current or former defendants' insurers, or any affiliate thereof, in respect of contribution, indemnity or other claims over which relate to the allegations made in the Class Actions, are hereby fully, finally, irrevocably and forever compromised, released, discharged, cancelled, barred and deemed satisfied and extinguished.

14. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that nothing in this order shall fetter the discretion of any court to determine Ernst & Young's Proportionate Liability at the trial or other disposition of an action for the purposes of paragraph 12(c) above, whether or not Ernst & Young appears at the trial or other disposition (which, subject to further order of the Court, Ernst & Young has no obligation to do) and Ernst & Young's Proportionate Liability shall be determined as if Ernst & Young were a party to the action and any determination by the court in respect of Ernst & Young's Proportionate Liability shall only apply in that action to the proportionate liability of the remaining defendants in those proceedings and shall not be binding on Ernst & Young for any purpose whatsoever and shall not constitute a finding against Ernst & Young for any purpose in any other proceeding.

15. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ontario Plaintiffs shall incur and pay notice and administration costs that are incurred in advance of the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, as a result of an order of this Honourable Court, up to a maximum of the first \$200,000 thereof (the "Initial Plaintiffs' Costs"), which costs are to be immediately reimbursed from the Settlement Fund after the Ernst & Young Settlement Date. Ernst & Young shall incur and pay such notice and administration costs which are incurred in advance of the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, as a result of an order of this Honourable Court, over and above the Initial Plaintiffs' Costs up to a maximum of a further \$200,000 (the "Initial Ernst & Young Costs"). Should any costs in excess of the cumulative amount of the Initial Plaintiffs' Costs and the Initial Ernst & Young Costs, being a total of \$400,000, in respect of notice and administration as ordered by this Honourable Court be incurred prior to the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, such amounts are to be borne equally between the Ontario Plaintiffs and

Ernst & Young. All amounts paid by the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ernst & Young as provided herein are to be deducted from or reimbursed from the Settlement Fund after the Ernst & Young Settlement Date. Should the settlement not proceed, the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ernst & Young shall each bear their respective costs paid to that time.

Establishment of the Settlement Trust

16. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that a trust (the “Settlement Trust”) shall be established under which a claims administrator, to be appointed by CCAA Representative Counsel with the consent of the Monitor or with approval of the court, shall be the trustee for the purpose of holding and distributing the Settlement Fund and administering the Settlement Trust.
17. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that after payment of class counsel fees, disbursements and taxes (including, without limitation, notice and administration costs and payments to Claims Funding International) and upon the approval of a Claims and Distribution Protocol, defined below, the entire balance of the Settlement Fund shall, subject to paragraph 18 below, be distributed to or for the benefit of the Securities Claimants for their claims against Ernst & Young, in accordance with a process for allocation and distribution among Securities Claimants, such process to be established by CCAA Representative Counsel and approved by further order of this court (the “Claims and Distribution Protocol”).
18. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that notwithstanding paragraph 17 above, the following Securities Claimants shall not be entitled to any allocation or distribution of the Settlement Fund: any Person or entity that is as at the date of this order a named defendant to any of the Class Actions (as defined in the Plan) and their past and present subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, senior employees, partners, legal representatives, heirs, predecessors, successors and assigns, and any individual who is a member of the immediate family of the following Persons: Allen T.Y, Chan a.k.a. Tak Yuen Chan, W. Judson Martin, Kai Kit Poon, David J. Horsley, William E. Ardell, James P. Boland, James M.E. Hyde, Edmund Mak, Simon Murray, Peter Wang, Garry J. West, Albert Ip, Alfred C.T. Hung, George Ho and Simon Yeung. For greater certainty, the Ernst & Young Release shall apply to the Securities Claimants described above.

19. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the fees and costs of the claims administrator and CCAA Representative Counsel shall be paid out of the Settlement Trust, and for such purpose, the claims administrator and the CCAA Representative Counsel may apply to the court to fix such fees and costs in accordance with the laws of Ontario governing the payment of counsel's fees and costs in class proceedings.

Recognition, Enforcement and Further Assistance

20. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Court in the CCAA proceedings shall retain an ongoing supervisory role for the purposes of implementing, administering and enforcing the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release and matters related to the Settlement Trust including any disputes about the allocation of the Settlement Fund from the Settlement Trust. Any disputes arising with respect to the performance or effect of, or any other aspect of, the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release shall be determined by the court, and that, except with leave of the court first obtained, no Person or party shall commence or continue any proceeding or enforcement process in any other court or tribunal, with respect to the performance or effect of, or any other aspect of the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release.

21. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ernst & Young with the assistance of the Monitor, shall use all reasonable efforts to obtain all court approvals and orders necessary for the implementation of the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release and shall take such additional steps and execute such additional agreements and documents as may be necessary or desirable for the completion of the transactions contemplated by the Ernst & Young Settlement, the Ernst & Young Release and this order.

22. **THIS COURT HEREBY REQUESTS** the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body having jurisdiction in Canada or the United States or elsewhere, to give effect to this order and to assist the Applicant, the Monitor, the CCAA Representative Counsel and Ernst & Young LLP and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Applicant, the Monitor as an officer of this Court, the CCAA Representative Counsel and Ernst

&Young LLP, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this order, to grant representative status to the Monitor in any foreign proceeding, or to assist the Applicant, the Monitor, the CCAA Representative Counsel and Ernst & Young LLP and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this order.

23. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that each of the Applicant, the Monitor, CCAA Representative Counsel and Ernst & Young LLP be at liberty and is hereby authorized and empowered to apply to any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body, wherever located, for the recognition of this order, or any further order as may be required, and for assistance in carrying out the terms of such orders.
24. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the running of time for the purposes of the Ernst & Young Claims asserted in the Ontario Class Action, including statutory claims for which the Ontario Plaintiffs have sought leave pursuant to Part XXIII.1 of the Ontario *Securities Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. S-5 and the concordant provisions of the securities legislation in all other provinces and territories of Canada, shall be suspended as of the date of this order until further order of this CCAA Court.
25. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that in the event that the Ernst & Young Settlement is not completed in accordance with its terms, the Ernst & Young Settlement and paragraphs 7-14 and 16-19 of this order shall become null and void and are without prejudice to the rights of the parties in the Ontario Class Action or in any proceedings and any agreement between the parties incorporated into this order shall be deemed in the Ontario Class Action and in any proceedings to have been made without prejudice.

Morawetz, J.

**APPENDIX “A” TO SETTLEMENT APPROVAL ORDER
DEFINITION OF SECURITIES CLAIMANTS**

“Securities Claimants” are all Persons and entities, wherever they may reside, who acquired any securities of Sino-Forest Corporation including securities acquired in the primary, secondary and over-the-counter markets.

For the purpose of the foregoing,

“Securities” means common shares, notes or other securities defined in the *Securities Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5, as amended.

**APPENDIX “B” TO SETTLEMENT APPROVAL ORDER
MONITOR’S ERNST & YOUNG SETTLEMENT CERTIFICATE**

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES’ CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE AND
ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No.: CV-11-431153-00CP

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

B E T W E E N :

**THE TRUSTEES OF THE LABOURERS’ PENSION FUND OF CENTRAL AND
EASTERN CANADA, THE TRUSTEES OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF
OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 793 PENSION PLAN FOR OPERATING
ENGINEERS IN ONTARIO, SJUNDE AP-FONDEN, DAVID GRANT and ROBERT
WONG**

Plaintiffs

- and -

**SINO-FOREST CORPORATION, ERNST & YOUNG LLP, BDO LIMITED (formerly
known as BDO MCCABE LO LIMITED), ALLEN T.Y. CHAN, W. JUDSON
MARTIN, KAI KIT POON, DAVID J. HORSLEY, WILLIAM E. ARDELL, JAMES
P. BOWLAND, JAMES M.E. HYDE, EDMUND MAK, SIMON MURRAY, PETER
WANG, GARRY J. WEST, PÖYRY (BEIJING) CONSULTING COMPANY
LIMITED, CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (CANADA), INC., TD SECURITIES INC.,
DUNDEE SECURITIES CORPORATION, RBC DOMINION SECURITIES INC.,
SCOTIA CAPITAL INC., CIBC WORLD MARKETS INC., MERRILL LYNCH
CANADA INC., CANACCORD FINANCIAL LTD., MAISON PLACEMENTS
CANADA INC., CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA) LLC and MERRILL LYNCH,
PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED (successor by merger to Banc of
America Securities LLC)**

Defendants

All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Order of the Court dated February 4, 2013 (the “Ernst & Young Settlement Approval Order”) which, *inter alia*, approved the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release and established the Settlement Trust (as those terms are defined in the plan of compromise and reorganization dated December 3, 2012 (as the same may be amended, revised or supplemented in accordance with its terms, the “Plan”) of Sino-Forest Corporation (“SFC”), as approved by the Court pursuant to an Order dated December 10, 2012).

Pursuant to section 11.1 of the Plan and paragraph 11 of the Ernst & Young Settlement Approval Order, FTI Consulting Canada Inc. (the “Monitor”) in its capacity as Court-appointed Monitor of SFC delivers to Ernst & Young LLP this certificate and hereby certifies that:

1. Ernst & Young has confirmed that the settlement amount has been paid to the Settlement Trust in accordance with the Ernst & Young Settlement;
2. ■, being the trustee of the Settlement Trust has confirmed that such settlement amount has been received by the Settlement Trust; and
3. The Ernst & Young Release is in full force and effect in accordance with the Plan.

DATED at Toronto this ___ day of _____, 2013.

FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC. solely
in its capacity as Monitor of Sino-Forest
Corporation and not in its personal capacity

Name:
Title:

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

THE HONOURABLE) MONDAY, THE
)
MR. JUSTICE MORAWETZ)
)
4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2013

**IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE AND
ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No.: CV-11-431153-00CP

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

B E T W E E N :

**THE TRUSTEES OF THE LABOURERS' PENSION FUND OF CENTRAL AND
EASTERN CANADA, THE TRUSTEES OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF
OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 793 PENSION PLAN FOR OPERATING
ENGINEERS IN ONTARIO, SJUNDE AP-FONDEN, DAVID GRANT and ROBERT
WONG**

Plaintiffs

- and -

**SINO-FOREST CORPORATION, ERNST & YOUNG LLP, BDO LIMITED (formerly
known as BDO MCCABE LO LIMITED), ALLEN T.Y. CHAN, W. JUDSON
MARTIN, KAI KIT POON, DAVID J. HORSLEY, WILLIAM E. ARDELL, JAMES
P. BOWLAND, JAMES M.E. HYDE, EDMUND MAK, SIMON MURRYMURRAY,
PETER WANG, GARRY J. WEST, PÖYRY (BEIJING) CONSULTING COMPANY
LIMITED, CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (CANADA), INC., TD SECURITIES INC.,
DUNDEE SECURITIES CORPORATION, RBC DOMINION SECURITIES INC.,
SCOTIA CAPITAL INC., CIBC WORLD MARKETS INC., MERRILL LYNCH
CANADA INC., CANACCORD FINANCIAL LTD., MAISON PLACEMENTS
CANADA INC., CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA) LLC and MERRILL LYNCH,
PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED (successor by merger to Banc of
America Securities LLC)**

Defendants

ORDER

THIS MOTION made by the Ad Hoc Committee of Purchasers of the Applicant's Securities, including the plaintiffs in the action commenced against Sino-Forest Corporation ("Sino-Forest" or the "Applicant") in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, bearing (Toronto) Court File No. CV-11-431153-00CP (the "Ontario Plaintiffs" and the "Ontario Class Action", respectively), in their own and proposed representative capacities, for an order giving effect to the Ernst & Young Release and the Ernst & Young Settlement (as defined in the Plan of Compromise and Reorganization of the Applicant under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* ("CCAA") dated December 3, 2012 (the "Plan") and as provided for in section 11.1 of the Plan, such Plan having been approved by this Honourable Court by Order dated December 10, 2012 (the "Sanction Order")), was heard this day at the Court House, 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

WHEREAS the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ernst & Young (as defined in the Plan) entered into Minutes of Settlement dated November 29, 2012.

AND WHEREAS this Honourable Court issued the Sanction Order [approving the Plan](#) containing the framework and providing for the implementation of the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release, upon further notice and approval;

AND WHEREAS the Supervising CCAA Judge [in this proceeding](#), the Honourable Justice Morawetz, ~~in this proceeding~~ was designated on December 13, 2012 by Regional Senior Justice Then to hear this motion for settlement approval pursuant to both the CCAA and the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*;

AND WHEREAS this Honourable Court approved the form of notice and the plan for distribution of the notice to any Person with an Ernst & Young Claim, as defined in the Plan, of this settlement approval motion by Order dated December 21, 2012 (the "Notice Order");

AND ON READING the Ontario Plaintiffs' Motion Record, including the affidavits of Charles Wright, counsel to the plaintiffs, and the exhibits thereto, ~~and of [●], and the exhibits thereto, and on reading the ●~~ [Joe Redshaw and the exhibits thereto, Frank C. Torchio and the exhibits thereto, Serge Kalloghlian and the exhibits thereto, and on reading the affidavit of Mike](#)

P. Dean and the exhibits thereto, and on reading the affidavit of Judson Martin and the exhibits thereto and on reading the Responding Motion Record of the Objectors to this motion (Invesco Canada Ltd., Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P., Comité Syndical National de Retraite Bâtirente Inc., Matrix Asset Management Inc, Gestion Férique and Montrusco Bolton Investments) including the affidavits of Eric J. Adelson and the exhibits thereto, Daniel Simard and the exhibits thereto and Tanya J. Jemec, and the exhibits thereto, and on reading the Fourteenth Report, the Supplement to the Fourteenth Report and the Fifteenth Report of FTI Consulting Canada Inc., in its capacity as Monitor of the Applicant (in such capacity, the “Monitor”) dated January 22 and 28, 2013 and February 1, 2013 including any notices of objection received, and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Ontario Plaintiffs, Ernst & Young LLP, the Ad Hoc Committee of Sino-Forest Noteholders—and, the Applicant, the Objectors to this motion, the Monitor and those other parties present, no one appearing for any other party although duly served as appears from the affidavit of service of sworn, 2013 and such other notice as required by the Notice Order,

Sufficiency of Service and Definitions

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service and manner of service of the Notice of Motion and the Motion Record and the Fourteenth Report, the Supplement to the Fourteenth Report and the Fifteenth Report of the Monitor on any Person are, respectively, hereby abridged and validated, and any further service thereof is hereby dispensed with so that this Motion is properly returnable today in both proceedings set out in the styles of cause hereof.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this order shall have the meanings attributed to those terms in the Plan.
3. **THIS COURT FINDS** that all applicable parties have adhered to, and acted in accordance with, the Notice Order and that the procedures provided in the Notice Order have provided good and sufficient notice of the hearing of this Motion, and that all Persons shall be and are hereby forever barred from objecting to the Ernst & Young Settlement or the Ernst & Young Release.

Representation

4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that Ontario Plaintiffs are hereby recognized and appointed as representatives on behalf of those Persons described in **Appendix “A”** hereto (collectively, the “Securities Claimants”) in these insolvency proceedings in respect of the Applicant (the “CCAA Proceedings”) and in the Ontario Class Action, including for the purposes of and as contemplated by section 11.1 of the Plan, and more particularly the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release.
5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that Koskie Minsky LLP, Siskinds LLP and Paliare Roland Rosenberg Rothstein LLP are hereby recognized and appointed as counsel for the Securities Claimants for all purposes in these proceedings and as contemplated by section 11.1 of the Plan, and more particularly the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release (“CCAA Representative Counsel”).
6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the steps taken by CCAA Representative Counsel pursuant to the Orders of this Court dated May 8, 2012 (the “Claims Procedure Order”) and July 25, 2012 (the “Mediation Order”) are hereby [approved, authorized and](#) validated as of the date thereof and that CCAA Representative Counsel is and was authorized to negotiate and support the Plan on behalf of the Securities Claimants, to negotiate the Ernst & Young Settlement, to bring this motion before this Honourable Court to approve the Ernst & Young Settlement and [the Ernst & Young Release and](#) to take any other necessary steps to effectuate [and implement](#) the Ernst & Young Settlement [and the Ernst & Young Release](#), including bringing any necessary motion before the court, and as contemplated by section 11.1 of the Plan.

Approval of the Settlement & Release

7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ernst & Young Settlement [is and the Ernst & Young Release are](#) fair and reasonable in all the circumstances and for the purposes of both proceedings.
8. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release be and hereby are approved for all purposes and as contemplated by s. 11.1 of the

Plan and paragraph 40 of the Sanction Order and shall be implemented in accordance with their terms, this Order, the Plan and the Sanction Order.

9. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order, the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release are binding upon each and every Person or entity having an Ernst & Young Claim, including those Persons who are under disability, and any requirements of rules 7.04(1) and 7.08(4) of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194 are dispensed with in respect of the Ontario Class Action.

Payment, Release, Discharge and Channelling

10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that upon satisfaction of all the conditions specified in section 11.1(a) of the Plan, Ernst & Young shall pay CDN \$117,000,000 (the “Settlement Fund”) into the Settlement Trust (as defined in paragraph 16 below) less any amounts paid in advance as set out in paragraph 15 of this order or the Notice Order.

11. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that upon ~~confirmation to the Monitor in writing by receipt of a certificate from~~ Ernst & Young ~~of the fulfillment of all conditions precedent to confirming it has paid the Settlement Fund to the Settlement Trust in accordance with~~ the Ernst & Young Settlement ~~and the payments~~ contemplated by paragraph 10 ~~hereof of this Order and upon receipt of a certificate from the trustee of the Settlement Fund to the Trust confirming receipt of such~~ Settlement ~~Trust Fund~~, the Monitor shall deliver to Ernst & Young the Monitor’s Ernst & Young Settlement Certificate (as defined in the Plan) substantially in the form attached hereto as Appendix “B”. The Monitor shall thereafter file the Monitor’s Ernst & Young Settlement Certificate with the Court.

12. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that pursuant to the provisions of section 11.1(b) of the Plan, ~~on the Ernst & Young Settlement Date,~~

- a. upon receipt by the Settlement Trust of the Settlement Fund, all Ernst & Young Claims, including but not limited to the claims of the Securities Claimants, shall be fully, finally, irrevocably and forever compromised, released, discharged, cancelled, barred and deemed satisfied and extinguished as against Ernst & Young in accordance with section 11.1(b) of the Plan;

- b. on the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, section 7.3 of the Plan shall apply to Ernst & Young and the Ernst & Young Claims *mutatis mutandis*;
- c. upon receipt by the Settlement Trust of the Settlement Fund, none of the plaintiffs in the Class Actions or any other actions in which the Ernst & Young Claims could have been asserted shall be permitted to claim from any of the other defendants that portion of any damages, restitutionary award or disgorgement of profits that corresponds with the liability of Ernst & Young, proven at trial or otherwise, that is the subject of the Ernst & Young Settlement (“Ernst & Young’s Proportionate Liability”);
- d. upon receipt by the Settlement Trust of the Settlement Fund, Ernst & Young shall have no obligation to participate in and shall not be compelled to participate in any disputes about the allocation of the Settlement Fund from the Settlement Trust and any and all Ernst & Young Claims shall be irrevocably channeled to the Settlement Fund held in the Settlement Trust in accordance with paragraphs 16 and 17 of this order and the Claims and Distribution Protocol defined below and forever discharged and released against Ernst & Young in accordance with paragraph 12(a) of this order, regardless of whether the Claims and Distribution Protocol is finalized as at the Ernst & Young Settlement Date;
- e. on the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, all Class Actions, as defined in the Plan, including the Ontario Class Action shall be permanently stayed as against Ernst & Young; and
- f. on the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, the Ontario Class Action shall be dismissed against Ernst & Young.

13. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that on the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, any and all claims which Ernst & Young may have had against any other current or former defendant, or any affiliate thereof, in the Ontario Class Action, or against any other current or former defendant, or any affiliate thereof, in any Class Actions in a jurisdiction in which this order

has been recognized by a final order of a court of competent jurisdiction and not subject to further appeal, any other current or former defendant's insurers, or any affiliates thereof, or any other Persons who may claim over against the other current or former defendants, or any affiliate thereof, or the other current or former defendants' insurers, or any affiliate thereof, in respect of contribution, indemnity or other claims over which relate to the allegations made in the Class Actions, are hereby fully, finally, irrevocably and forever compromised, released, discharged, cancelled, barred and deemed satisfied and extinguished ~~(save and except for those claims in the Ontario Class Action as against Poyry Beijing Consulting Company Limited which were dealt with in the Order of Justice Perell J. dated September 25, 2012 in the Ontario Class Action).~~

14. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that nothing in this order shall fetter the discretion of any court to determine Ernst & Young's Proportionate Liability at the trial or other disposition of an action for the purposes of paragraph 12(c) above, whether or not Ernst & Young appears at the trial or other disposition (which, subject to further order of the Court, Ernst & Young has no obligation to do) and Ernst & Young's Proportionate Liability shall be determined as if Ernst & Young were a party to the action and any determination by the court in respect of Ernst & Young's Proportionate Liability shall only apply in that action to the proportionate liability of the remaining defendants in those proceedings and shall not be binding on Ernst & Young for any purpose whatsoever and shall not constitute a finding against Ernst & Young for any purpose in any other proceeding.

15. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ontario Plaintiffs shall incur and pay notice and administration costs that are incurred in advance of the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, as a result of an order of this Honourable Court, up to a maximum of the first \$200,000 thereof (the "Initial Plaintiffs' Costs"), which costs are to be immediately reimbursed from the Settlement Fund after the Ernst & Young Settlement Date. Ernst & Young shall incur and pay such notice and administration costs which are incurred in advance of the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, as a result of an order of this Honourable Court, over and above the Initial Plaintiffs' Costs up to a maximum of a further \$200,000 (the "Initial Ernst & Young Costs"). Should any costs in excess of the cumulative amount of the Initial Plaintiffs' Costs and the Initial Ernst & Young Costs, being a total of \$400,000, in respect of notice and

administration as ordered by this Honourable Court be incurred prior to the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, such amounts are to be borne equally between the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ernst & Young. All amounts paid by the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ernst & Young as provided herein are to be deducted from or reimbursed from the Settlement Fund after the Ernst & Young Settlement Date. Should the settlement not proceed, the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ernst & Young shall each bear their respective costs paid to that time.

Establishment of the Settlement Trust

16. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that a trust (the “Settlement Trust”) shall be established under which a claims administrator, to be appointed by CCAA Representative Counsel with the consent of the Monitor or with approval of the court, shall be the trustee for the purpose of holding and distributing the Settlement Fund and administering the Settlement Trust.
17. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that after payment of class counsel fees, disbursements and taxes (including, without limitation, notice and administration costs and payments to Claims Funding International) and upon the approval of a Claims and Distribution Protocol, defined below, the entire balance of the Settlement Fund shall, subject to paragraph 18 below, be distributed to or for the benefit of the Securities Claimants for their claims against Ernst & Young, in accordance with a process for allocation and distribution among Securities Claimants, such process to be established by CCAA Representative Counsel and approved by further order of this court (the “Claims and Distribution Protocol”).
18. **THIS COURT ORDERS that** notwithstanding paragraph 17 above, the following Securities Claimants shall not be entitled to any allocation or distribution of the Settlement Fund: any Person or entity that is as at the date of this order a named defendant to any of the Class Actions (as defined in the Plan) and their past and present subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, senior employees, partners, legal representatives, heirs, predecessors, successors and assigns, and any individual who is a member of the immediate family of the following Persons: Allen T.Y, Chan a.k.a. Tak Yuen Chan, W. Judson Martin, Kai Kit Poon, David J. Horsley, William E. Ardell, James P. Boland, James M.E. Hyde, Edmund Mak, Simon Murray, Peter Wang, Garry J. West, Albert Ip, Alfred C.T. Hung, George Ho

and Simon Yeung. [For greater certainty, the Ernst & Young Release shall apply to the Securities Claimants described above.](#)

19. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the fees and costs of the claims administrator and CCAA Representative Counsel shall be paid out of the Settlement Trust, and for such purpose, the claims administrator and the CCAA Representative Counsel may apply to the court to fix such fees and costs in accordance with the laws of Ontario governing the payment of counsel's fees and costs in class proceedings.

Recognition, Enforcement and Further Assistance

20. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Court in the CCAA proceedings shall retain an ongoing supervisory role for the purposes of implementing, administering and enforcing the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release and matters related to the Settlement Trust including any disputes about the allocation of the Settlement Fund from the Settlement Trust. Any disputes arising with respect to the performance or effect of, or any other aspect of, the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release shall be determined by the court, and that, except with leave of the court first obtained, no Person or party shall commence or continue any proceeding or enforcement process in any other court or tribunal, with respect to the performance or effect of, or any other aspect of the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release.
21. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ernst & Young with the assistance of the Monitor, shall use all reasonable efforts to obtain all court approvals and orders necessary for the implementation of the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release and shall take such additional steps and execute such additional agreements and documents as may be necessary or desirable for the completion of the transactions contemplated by the Ernst & Young Settlement, the Ernst & Young Release and this order.
22. **THIS COURT HEREBY REQUESTS** the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body having jurisdiction in Canada or the United States or elsewhere, to give effect to this order and to assist the Applicant, the Monitor, the CCAA Representative Counsel and Ernst & Young LLP and their respective agents in carrying out

the terms of this order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Applicant, the Monitor as an officer of this Court, the CCAA Representative Counsel and Ernst & Young LLP, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this order, to grant representative status to the Monitor in any foreign proceeding, or to assist the Applicant, the Monitor, the CCAA Representative Counsel and Ernst & Young LLP and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this order.

23. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that each of the Applicant, the Monitor, CCAA Representative Counsel and Ernst & Young LLP be at liberty and is hereby authorized and empowered to apply to any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body, wherever located, for the recognition of this order, or any further order as may be required, and for assistance in carrying out the terms of such orders.

24. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the running of time for the purposes of the Ernst & Young Claims asserted in the Ontario Class Action, including statutory claims for which the Ontario Plaintiffs have sought leave pursuant to Part XXIII.1 of the Ontario *Securities Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. S-5 and the concordant provisions of the securities legislation in all other provinces and territories of Canada, shall be suspended as of the date of this order until further order of this CCAA Court.

25. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that in the event that the Ernst & Young Settlement is not completed in accordance with its terms, the Ernst & Young Settlement and paragraphs 7-14 and 16-19 of this order shall become null and void and are without prejudice to the rights of the parties in the Ontario Class Action or in any proceedings and any agreement between the parties incorporated into this order shall be deemed in the Ontario Class Action and in any proceedings to have been made without prejudice.

Morawetz, J.

**APPENDIX “A” TO SETTLEMENT APPROVAL ORDER
DEFINITION OF SECURITIES CLAIMANTS**

“Securities Claimants” are all Persons and entities, wherever they may reside, who acquired any securities of Sino-Forest Corporation including securities acquired in the primary, secondary and over-the-counter markets.

For the purpose of the foregoing,

“Securities” means common shares, notes or other securities defined in the *Securities Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5, as amended.

**APPENDIX “B” TO SETTLEMENT APPROVAL ORDER
MONITOR’S ERNST & YOUNG SETTLEMENT CERTIFICATE**

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES’ CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE AND
ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No.: CV-11-431153-00CP

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

B E T W E E N :

**THE TRUSTEES OF THE LABOURERS’ PENSION FUND OF CENTRAL AND
EASTERN CANADA, THE TRUSTEES OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF
OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 793 PENSION PLAN FOR OPERATING
ENGINEERS IN ONTARIO, SJUNDE AP-FONDEN, DAVID GRANT and ROBERT
WONG**

Plaintiffs

- and -

**SINO-FOREST CORPORATION, ERNST & YOUNG LLP, BDO LIMITED (formerly
known as BDO MCCABE LO LIMITED), ALLEN T.Y. CHAN, W. JUDSON
MARTIN, KAI KIT POON, DAVID J. HORSLEY, WILLIAM E. ARDELL, JAMES
P. BOWLAND, JAMES M.E. HYDE, EDMUND MAK, SIMON MURRYMURRAY,
PETER WANG, GARRY J. WEST, PÖYRY (BEIJING) CONSULTING COMPANY
LIMITED, CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (CANADA), INC., TD SECURITIES INC.,
DUNDEE SECURITIES CORPORATION, RBC DOMINION SECURITIES INC.,
SCOTIA CAPITAL INC., CIBC WORLD MARKETS INC., MERRILL LYNCH
CANADA INC., CANACCORD FINANCIAL LTD., MAISON PLACEMENTS
CANADA INC., CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA) LLC and MERRILL LYNCH,
PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED (successor by merger to Banc of
America Securities LLC)**

Defendants

All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Order of the Court dated February 4, 2013 (the “Ernst & Young Settlement Approval Order”) which, *inter alia*, approved the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release and established the Settlement Trust (as those terms are defined in the plan of compromise and reorganization dated December 3, 2012 (as the same may be amended, revised or supplemented in accordance with its terms, the “Plan”) of Sino-Forest Corporation (“SFC”), as approved by the Court pursuant to an Order dated December 10, 2012).

Pursuant to section 11.1 of the Plan and paragraph 11 of the Ernst & Young Settlement Approval Order, FTI Consulting Canada Inc. (the “Monitor”) in its capacity as Court-appointed Monitor of SFC delivers to Ernst & Young LLP this certificate and hereby certifies that:

1. Ernst & Young has confirmed that the settlement amount has been paid to the Settlement Trust in accordance with the Ernst & Young Settlement;
2. ■, being the trustee of the Settlement Trust has confirmed that such settlement amount has been received by the Settlement Trust; and
3. The Ernst & Young Release is in full force and effect in accordance with the Plan.

DATED at Toronto this ___ day of _____, 201■-2013.

FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC. solely
in its capacity as Monitor of Sino-Forest
Corporation and not in its personal capacity

Name:
Title:

Court File No. CV-12-9667-00CL

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

THE HONOURABLE) MONDAY, THE
)
MR. JUSTICE MORAWETZ) 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2013

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE AND
ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION**

Court File No.: CV-11-431153-00CP

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

B E T W E E N :

**THE TRUSTEES OF THE LABOURERS' PENSION FUND OF CENTRAL AND
EASTERN CANADA, THE TRUSTEES OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF
OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 793 PENSION PLAN FOR OPERATING
ENGINEERS IN ONTARIO, SJUNDE AP-FONDEN, DAVID GRANT and ROBERT
WONG**

Plaintiffs

- and -

**SINO-FOREST CORPORATION, ERNST & YOUNG LLP, BDO LIMITED (formerly
known as BDO MCCABE LO LIMITED), ALLEN T.Y. CHAN, W. JUDSON
MARTIN, KAI KIT POON, DAVID J. HORSLEY, WILLIAM E. ARDELL, JAMES
P. BOWLAND, JAMES M.E. HYDE, EDMUND MAK, SIMON MURRAY, PETER
WANG, GARRY J. WEST, PÖYRY (BEIJING) CONSULTING COMPANY
LIMITED, CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (CANADA), INC., TD SECURITIES INC.,
DUNDEE SECURITIES CORPORATION, RBC DOMINION SECURITIES INC.,
SCOTIA CAPITAL INC., CIBC WORLD MARKETS INC., MERRILL LYNCH
CANADA INC., CANACCORD FINANCIAL LTD., MAISON PLACEMENTS
CANADA INC., CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA) LLC and MERRILL LYNCH,
PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED (successor by merger to Banc of
America Securities LLC)**

Defendants

ORDER

THIS MOTION made by the Ad Hoc Committee of Purchasers of the Applicant's Securities, including the plaintiffs in the action commenced against Sino-Forest Corporation ("Sino-Forest") in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, bearing (Toronto) Court File No. CV-11-431153-00CP (the "Ontario Plaintiffs" and the "Ontario Class Action", respectively), in their own and proposed representative capacities, for an order providing for the preservation and production of certain documents in the possession of Ernst & Young LLP.

WHEREAS the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ernst & Young (as defined in the Plan) entered into Minutes of Settlement dated November 29, 2012.

AND WHEREAS this Honourable Court issued the Sanction Order containing the framework and providing for the implementation of the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release, upon further notice and approval;

AND WHEREAS the Supervising CCAA Judge in this proceeding was designated on December 13, 2012 by Regional Senior Justice Then to hear this motion for settlement approval pursuant to both the CCAA and the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*;

AND WHEREAS this Honourable Court approved the form of notice and the plan for distribution of the notice to any Person with an Ernst & Young Claim, as defined in the Plan, of this settlement approval motion by Order dated December 21, 2012 (the "Notice Order");

AND WHEREAS this Honourable Court approved the Ernst & Young Settlement and the Ernst & Young Release, as defined in the Plan, including the bar orders sought by Order dated February 4, 2013 (the "Settlement Order");

AND WHEREAS paragraph 12(c) of the Settlement Order provides that none of the plaintiffs in the Class Actions, as defined in the Settlement Order, shall be permitted to claim from any of the other defendants that portion of any damages that corresponds with the liability of Ernst & Young, proven at trial or otherwise, that is the subject of the Ernst & Young Settlement.

AND ON READING the Ontario Plaintiffs' Motion Record, including the affidavits of Charles Wright, counsel to the plaintiffs, and the exhibits thereto, Joe Redshaw and the exhibits thereto, Frank C. Torchio and the exhibits thereto, Serge Kalloghlian and exhibits thereto, and the affidavit of Mike P. Dean and the exhibits thereto, and the affidavit of Judson Martin and the exhibits thereto and the Responding Motion Record of the Objectors to this motion (Invesco Canada Ltd., Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P., Comité Syndical National de Retraite Bâtirente Inc., Matrix Asset Management Inc, Gestion Férique and Montrusco Bolton Investments) including the affidavits of Eric J. Adelson and exhibits thereto, Daniel Simard and exhibits thereto and Tanya J. Jemec and the exhibits thereto, and on reading the Fourteenth Report, the Supplement to the Fourteenth Report and the Fifteenth Report of FTI Consulting Canada Inc., in its capacity as Monitor of the Applicants (in such capacity, the "Monitor") dated January 22 and 28, 2013 and February 1, 2013 including any notices of objection received, and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Ontario Plaintiffs, Ernst & Young LLP, the Ad Hoc Committee of Sino-Forest Noteholders and the Applicant, the Underwriters, BDO Limited, the Monitor and those other parties present, no one appearing for any other party although duly served as appears from the affidavit of service of ● sworn ●, 2013 and such other notice as required by the Notice Order,

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service and manner of service of the Notice of Motion and the Motion Record and the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Reports of the Monitor on any Person are, respectively, hereby abridged and validated, and any further service thereof is hereby dispensed with so that this Motion is properly returnable today in both proceedings set out in the styles of cause hereof.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this order shall have the meanings attributed to those terms in the Plan.
3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that for the purposes of paragraph 12(c) in the Settlement Order made by this Honourable Court on today's date and commencing on the Ernst & Young Settlement Date, Ernst & Young LLP and all other parties to the Ontario Action shall be bound by the terms of the Production Protocol attached to this Order as **Appendix "A"**.

4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the document production as set out in the Production Protocol shall proceed pursuant to an agreement between the parties to the Ontario Class Action and Ernst & Young LLP in respect of a discovery plan pursuant to Rule 29.1.03(1) of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*, or failing such agreement, a further order of the court in respect of a discovery plan.

5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the non-settling parties may, on a motion to this Honorable Court, seek to enforce any pre-existing or subsequently acquired rights to obtain evidence from Ernst & Young LLP and may obtain on a motion to this Honourable Court, as against Ernst & Young LLP as a non-party, subject to Ernst & Young LLP's ability to resist a further order of the Court, Orders for:
 - a. documentary discovery and an affidavit of documents in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure from Ernst & Young LLP, to the extent it is not provided for in the Order or Appendix "A" thereto;
 - b. oral discovery of a representative of Ernst & Young LLP, the transcript of which may be read in at trial;
 - c. leave to serve a request to admit on Ernst & Young LLP in respect of factual matters; and
 - d. an undertaking to produce an Ernst & Young LLP representative to testify at trial, with such witness to be subject to cross-examination by counsel for the non-settling defendants.

**APPENDIX “A”
PRODUCTION PROTOCOL**

This document production protocol is intended to describe the process for obtaining production of documents from Ernst & Young LLP (“Ernst & Young”) in Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Court File No. CV-11-431153CP (the “Action”). The protocol assumes that the Ernst & Young Settlement and Ernst & Young Release will have been finally approved by the courts, including the bar orders sought, and that confirmation to the Monitor in writing by Ernst & Young of the fulfillment of all conditions precedent in the Ernst & Young Settlement and the fulfillment by the Ontario Class Action Plaintiffs of all of their obligations thereunder, and, where necessary, upon the recognition of these matters by appropriate courts in other jurisdictions all shall have occurred and/or been completed.

Ernst & Young has confirmed that to the best of its knowledge documents related to Sino-Forest Corporation and its subsidiaries (“Sino-Forest”) in its power, possession and control have been preserved in response to a document preservation memorandum dated June 9, 2011 and will continue to be preserved until the Action has been finally resolved.

After all appeals or times to appeal from certification of the Action against the non-settling defendants have been exhausted, following the close of pleadings, following production of documents by the then parties (not including Ernst & Young) to the Action and following delivery of affidavits of documents by the parties (not including Ernst & Young) to the Action, and in accordance with the timetable set out in the Discovery Plan (referenced in paragraph 3 below):

- 1) Ernst & Young will identify and produce the documents relevant to the Action, as determined by reference to the pleadings in the Action, such relevance to be determined as if Ernst & Young were still a party to the Action, subject to the principles of proportionality and reasonableness and subject to privilege and other lawful confidentiality claims (the “Documents”);

- 2) The Documents referred to in paragraph (1) hereof shall be made available to the parties for inspection upon request and, if requested, copies shall be produced to the parties to the Action;
- 3) Ernst & Young shall be consulted about the proposed schedule for production and discovery with respect to productions pursuant to this protocol before the finalization of the Discovery Plan pursuant to Rules 29.1.03(1) of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*. Ernst & Young shall thereafter make the Documents available for inspection in accordance with the established schedule. Any dispute with respect to the schedule as it affects Ernst & Young may be referred to the Ontario Superior Court pursuant to paragraph 9 hereof;
- 4) Ernst & Young shall be provided notice of all motions affecting Ernst & Young, including but not limited to any motion in respect of this Production Protocol, with service effective upon Peter H. Griffin, Lenczner Slaght LLP, for all motions or other proceedings contemplated by this protocol and the Order;
- 5) The parties to the Action will be permitted to access the aforementioned Documents for an agreed duration during which any such party may request copies of them;
- 6) Ernst & Young will arrange for copies of the Documents to be made and thereafter provided to, not only the party to the Action requesting copies of the documents, but also every other party to the Action. In the case of documents that are now in electronic form, production of such documents will be by electronic copies;
- 7) Any party to the Action that requests copies of documents pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 5 hereof agrees to pay all reasonable expenses relating to the copying or scanning of the requested documents incurred by Ernst & Young (including the costs incurred as a result of Ernst & Young retaining a third party vendor for such copying or scanning) for both the party requesting the documents and all other parties to the Action who are entitled to receive a duplicate copy, subject to the

rights of the parties to the Action to recover the same from the other parties to the Action as costs in the Action. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to prevent the parties to the Action from allocating the costs referred to among themselves in any way they agree is appropriate;

- 8) All other costs of Ernst & Young relating to the preparation for inspection and the production of documents shall be in the discretion of the Court pursuant to rule 30.10 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure* and s. 131 of the *Courts of Justice Act* and Ernst & Young or any party to the Action may refer the issue of the responsibility for payment of such costs to the Court pursuant to paragraph 9 hereof;
- 9) The parties to the Action and Ernst & Young may seek the assistance of the Ontario Superior Court, in case managing or resolving any issues that may arise during implementation of the abovementioned document production protocol, including the application and/or waiver of privilege, privilege generally, claims of confidentiality claims, the determination of relevance and the responsibility for costs incurred by Ernst & Young referred to in paragraph 8 hereof;
- 10) The deemed undertaking, as described in Rule 30.1 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure* shall apply to all documents made available for inspection or produced by Ernst & Young;
- 11) Nothing in this document protocol waives or prejudices the rights that the parties to the Action and Ernst & Young might have pursuant to Rules 30.10, 31.10 and 53.07 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure* and section 131 of the *Courts of Justice Act (Ontario)*.

**The Trustees of the Labourer's Pension Fund
of Central and Eastern Canada, et al.
Plaintiffs**

**and Sino-Forest Corporation, et al.
Defendants**

**Superior Court File No: CV-10-414302
Commercial Court File No: CV-12-9667-00CL**

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

Proceedings Under the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*

Proceeding commenced at **TORONTO**

**PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL
MOTION RECORD
(returnable February 4, 2013)**

PALIARE ROLAND ROSENBERG ROTHSTEIN LLP
250 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE 501
TORONTO, ON M5H 3E5
KEN ROSENBERG (LSUC No. 21102H)
MASSIMO STARNINO (LSUC No. 41048G)
TEL: 416-646-4300 / FAX: 416-646-4301

KOSKIE MINSKY LLP
900-20 QUEEN STREET WEST, BOX 52
TORONTO ON M5H 3R3
KIRK M. BAERT (LSUC No. 30942O)
TEL: 416-595-2117 / FAX: 416-204-2889
JONATHAN BIDA (LSUC No. 54211D)
TEL: 416-595-2072 / FAX: 416-204-2907

SISKINDS LLP
680 WATERLOO STREET, P.O. BOX 2520
LONDON ON N6A 3V8
CHARLES M. WRIGHT (LSUC No. 36599Q)
TEL: 519-660-7753 / FAX: 519-660-7754
A. DIMITRI LASCARIS (LSUC No. 50074A)
TEL: 519-660-7844 / FAX: 519-660-7845

**LAWYERS FOR AN AD HOC COMMITTEE OF
PURCHASERS OF THE APPLICANT'S SECURITIES**